IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

NICHOLAS BENAVIDES,

Plaintiff,

vs.

No. 2:18-CV-00025 KRS

ANDREW SAUL, Commissioner of Social Security.¹

Defendant.

ORDER GRANTING § 406(b) ATTORNEY FEES

THIS MATTER comes before the Court upon Plaintiff's Motion for Order Authorizing Attorney Fees Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 406(b). (Doc. 27). The Commissioner has no objection to the motion. (*See* Doc. 28). The Court, having considered the motion and the reasonableness of the requested award, finds that the motion is well taken and should be granted.

In Plaintiff's earlier appeal of a denial of his application for benefits by the Social Security Administration ("SSA"), the Honorable Steven C. Yarbrough, United States Magistrate Judge, granted Plaintiff's motion to remand the action back to the SSA for additional proceedings. *See* Order Granting Plaintiff's Motion to Reverse or Remand, *Benavides v. Colvin*, 2:14-cv-820-SCY (D.N.M. May 31, 2016), ECF No. 26. Judge Yarbrough thereafter awarded Equal Access to Justice Act ("EAJA") fees in the amount of \$6,587.30. *See* Order Granting Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney Fees, *Benavides*, 2:14-cv-820-SCY (D.N.M. Sept. 23, 2016), ECF No. 30.

After further proceedings as to his claim before the SSA, Plaintiff filed the complaint in this action. (*See* Doc. 1). On June 28, 2018, Plaintiff filed a motion to remand this action back to

¹ Andrew Saul is substituted as Commissioner of the Social Security Administration by operation of FED. R. CIV. P. 25(d).

the SSA. (Doc. 18). On August 8, 2018, the Commissioner filed his own unopposed motion to remand this action. (Doc. 20). On August 16, 2018, the Court granted the Commissioner's motion, denied Plaintiff's motion as moot, and remanded the matter for additional proceedings. (*See* Docs. 21-22). Thereafter, the Court awarded Plaintiff EAJA fees in the amount of \$7,095.30. (*See* Doc. 25).

On remand, the SSA found Plaintiff to be disabled and awarded her approximately \$106,710.00 in past-due benefits. (*See* Doc. 27 Exh. A); (*see also* Doc. 27 Exh. B). The Commissioner withheld \$26,677.50—twenty-five percent (25%) of the award—to cover potential attorney fees. (*See* Doc. 27 Exh. B at 3). It disbursed \$10,000 of these funds to Plaintiff's counsel per the initial fee agreement between counsel and Plaintiff (*see* Doc. 27 at 5), and Plaintiff's counsel now seeks authorization from this Court to receive the balance of withheld funds pursuant to a separate contingency agreement.

When a court renders a judgment favorable to a Social Security claimant who was represented before the court by an attorney, the court may allow "a reasonable fee for such representation, not in excess of twenty-five percent of the total of the past-due benefits to which the claimant is entitled." 42 U.S.C. § 406(b)(1)(A); see also McGraw v. Barnhart, 450 F.3d 493, 495 (10th Cir. 2006) (holding that fees may be awarded under 406(b) when "the court remands a case for further proceedings and the Commissioner ultimately determines that the claimant is entitled to an award of past-due benefits"). Unlike EAJA fees, which are paid in addition to past-due benefits, § 406(b) fees are paid out of past-due benefits. Wrenn ex rel. Wrenn v. Astrue, 525 F.3d 931, 933-34 (10th Cir. 2008). If fees are awarded under both the EAJA and § 406(b), the attorney must refund the lesser award to the claimant. Id. at 934. However, the refund of EAJA fees is offset by any mandatory deductions under the Treasury Offset Program, which may

collect delinquent debts owed to federal and state agencies from a claimant's award of past-due benefits. See 31 U.S.C. § 3716(c)(3)(B).

Although § 406(b) does not prohibit contingency fee agreements, it renders them unenforceable to the extent that they provide for fees exceeding twenty-five percent (25%) of the past-due benefits. *Gisbrecht v. Barnhart*, 535 U.S. 798, 807 (2002). Section 406(b) also requires the court to act as "an independent check" to ensure that fees are reasonable. *Id.* at 807. Importantly, there is no presumption that a fee equal to or below the twenty-five percent (25%) cap is reasonable. *Id.* Rather, it is simply a congressionally created "boundary line" and "the attorney for the successful claimant must show that the fee sought is reasonable for the services rendered." *Id.*

To determine reasonableness, courts consider factors including: (1) whether the attorney's representation was substandard; (2) whether the attorney was responsible for any delay in the resolution of the case; and (3) whether the contingency fee is disproportionately large in comparison to the amount of time spent on the case. *Id.* at 808. The Tenth Circuit has held that a request "should be filed within a reasonable time of the Commissioner's decision awarding benefits." *McGraw*, 450 F.3d at 505.

In this case, the Court finds that Plaintiff's counsel's representation was competent; that Plaintiff's counsel obtained a fully favorable decision (*see* Doc. 27 Exh. A) without delaying the proceedings before this Court; and that the instant motion was filed within a reasonable time after Plaintiff received notice of entitlement to past-due benefits. The Court further finds that the requested fee of \$16,677.50 is no greater than the twenty-five percent (25%) of past-due benefits permitted by Plaintiff's contingency agreement (*see* Doc. 27 Exhs. D-E) and that it is proportionate given the amount of time (69.97 hours) Plaintiff's counsel expended in this case

and in the earlier appeal before Judge Yarbrough. The Court notes that the requested fee translates to an hourly rate of roughly \$238.35,² which is in line with § 406(b) awards authorized in other cases. *See, e.g., Torrence v. Saul*, No. 18-cv-934 SCY (D.N.M. Oct. 22, 2020) (hourly rate of \$597.61); *Reid v. Saul*, 16-cv-1104 SMV (D.N.M. Mar. 3, 2020) (hourly rate of \$425.44). Having performed its "independent check" duties, the Court finds the requested award to be both appropriate and reasonable.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for attorney fees under § 406(b) is GRANTED. The Court hereby authorizes an award of attorney fees in the amount of \$16,677.50 and directs the Commissioner to pay this award directly to Plaintiff's counsel, Michael Armstrong Law Office, LLC, from the amount of past-due benefits withheld for this purpose. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's counsel shall thereafter refund to Plaintiff the EAJA fees previously awarded by the Court in this case, amounting to \$7,095.30. Because \$6,587.30 in EAJA fees previously awarded by Judge Yarbrough were garnished under the Treasury Offset Program (see Doc. 27 Exh. G), counsel is not required to refund that specific EAJA amount to Plaintiff.

KEVIN R. SWEAZEA

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

 $^{^2}$ As Plaintiff notes, the hourly rate works out to approximately \$381.27 when the contractually-agreed \$10,000 is also included in the total amount. This hourly rate, too, is in line with previous \$ 406(b) awards.